



"Debbie Duggan"

11/19/2007 09:04 AM

**Superfund Records Center**

**SITE:** \_\_\_\_\_

**BREAK:** \_\_\_\_\_

**OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

To: Jim Diloranzo/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

cc: \_\_\_\_\_, <\_\_\_\_\_>

"Martha Stevenson" \_\_\_\_\_

bcc:

Subject: Fw: Olin Site - EPA Review of Interim Response Steps Work Plan

EPA  
Jim:

RE: OLIN SITE - EPA REVIEW OF INTERIM RESPONSE STEPS

I'd like to make an additional comment regarding the "perimeter testing" for the Olin IR/work plan:

Recommendations from DEP was that Olin should be testing for - "OLEFINS, FURANS, & PARAFINS". Hence, I'd like to see them also considered.

This is my second comment letter regarding the EPA Review of Interim Response Steps Work Plan. In assisting you....

Sincerely,  
Debbie Duggan/Wilmington

----- Original Message -----

From: "Debbie Duggan" <\_\_\_\_\_>

To: <diloranzo.jim@epamail.epa.gov>; <Kelman.Wesley@epamail.epa.gov>;  
<Sugatt.Rick@epamail.epa.gov>; <Luce.Darryl@epamail.epa.gov>;  
<Willey.Dick@epamail.epa.gov>; <conlon.nora@epamail.epa.gov>;

<\_\_\_\_\_>; <\_\_\_\_\_>; <\_\_\_\_\_>

Cc: <Cianciarulo.Bob@epamail.epa.gov>; <White.Sarah@epamail.epa.gov>

Sent: Friday, November 09, 2007 1:28 PM

Subject: Re: Olin Site - EPA Review of Interim Response Steps Work Plan

> Jim Diloranzo  
> EPA

>  
> RE: EPA REVIEW OF IRS WORK PLAN - OLIN

>  
> I was pleased to see the EPA follow up on the gravity of ammonia vs what  
> was floating as opposed to down deep and their asking for more detailed  
> data because it couldn't be (amines/azo/nitrogen issues?). Also pleased  
> with "no rail acceptance"; posting of the letters/comments; and no reduced  
> testing; along with mention of the Zone II of the aquifer is still legally  
> a zone II AND an asset to our community.

>  
> Do not forget Bloody Bluff Fault!

>  
> Original reports from when EPA had it before turning it over to DEP in the  
> 1980's said to follow the "Phthalates, Phenols, and Hexamine" - to me,  
> no-one did follow them as suggested by EPA REPORT & their products; and  
> that was just at-a-glance!; MtBE still not closed with me; & nor is Olin's  
> constant mention of similar operational issues & their neighbor's.

>  
> Testing should include perimeters necessary; and I'm unsure why there is

> not more mention of the metals (i.e. chromium from hexchrome processing or  
> if you're just looking at what transports off from them and remain curious  
> as to the reasons why/what/where)...

>  
> Debbie Duggan/Wilmington, MA

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: <dilorenzo.jim@epamail.epa.gov>  
> To: <Kelman.Wesley@epamail.epa.gov>; <Sugatt.Rick@epamail.epa.gov>;  
> <Luce.Darryl@epamail.epa.gov>; <Willey.Dick@epamail.epa.gov>;  
> <conlon.nora@epamail.epa.gov>; <[REDACTED]>;  
> <[REDACTED]>; <[REDACTED]>;  
> <[REDACTED]>; <[REDACTED]>; <[REDACTED]>;  
> <[REDACTED]>; <[REDACTED]>; <[REDACTED]>;  
> Cc: <Cianciarulo.Bob@epamail.epa.gov>; <White.Sarah@epamail.epa.gov>  
> Sent: Friday, November 02, 2007 3:26 PM  
> Subject: Olin Site - EPA Review of Interim Response Steps Work Plan

>>  
>> A copy of EPA's review and comment letter on Olin/MACTEC's Interim  
>> Response Steps Work Plan is attached below.

>>  
>> Also attached below in a separate file is a scanned copy of all the  
>> internal and external individual review letters I received on this Work  
>> Plan. I believe most of the major comments on the Work Plan have been  
>> effectively incorporated into EPA's letter. I purposely scanned the  
>> review letters with my margin comments included so that the original  
>> reviewers can see where comments have not been incorporated with a  
>> glimpse into why. A number of items will be addressed through the  
>> pending Remedial Investigation. Please feel free to email or call me if  
>> you are concerned about a particular comment you made that does not  
>> appear to carry through to the official EPA comment letter, or if you  
>> have any general questions about the comments.

>>  
>> As specified in the letter, Olin/MACTEC are being requested to respond  
>> to each of EPA's comments directly in a written letter due to EPA within  
>> 30 days from today. I will circulate a copy of Olin/MACTEC's response  
>> for further consideration. A final Interim Response Steps Work Plan  
>> will be required once EPA is satisfied with the response to comments.

>>  
>> I appreciate everyone's time and effort to review and comment on this  
>> Work Plan.

>>  
>> Thank You -  
>> Jim

>>  
>> (See attached file: review\_letters.pdf) (See attached file:  
>> EPA\_review\_final.pdf)

>>  
>> James M. DiLorenzo  
>> US EPA Region 1  
>> Office of Site Remediation & Restoration  
>> One Congress Street (Suite 1100 - HBO)  
>> Boston, MA 02114-2023  
>> 617 918-1247  
>> fax 918-0247

>